UNITIL ENERGY SYSTEMS, INC.

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF LAURENCE M. BROCK

New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission

Docket No. DE 11-___

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	Page 1
II.	PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY	Page 2
III.	TROPICAL STORM IRENE & OCTOBER SNOW STORM: STORM RESTORATION EXPENDITURES	Page 3
IV.	TROPICAL STORM IRENE & OCTOBER SNOW STORM: UES ACCOUNTING FOR STORM RESTORATION COSTS	Page 7
V.	CONCLUSION	Page 10

LIST OF SCHEDULES

 ${\bf Schedule\ LMB-1:\ Costs-Tropical\ Storm\ Irene}$

Schedule LMB-2: Costs – October Snow Storm

2	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
3	A.	My name is Laurence M. Brock. My business address is 6 Liberty Lane West,
4		Hampton, New Hampshire 03842.
5		
6	Q.	For whom do you work and in what capacity?
7	A.	I am Controller and Chief Accounting Officer of Unitil Corporation, Inc.
8		("Unitil"). I am also the Controller of Unitil's utility operating subsidiaries,
9		including Unitil Energy Systems, Inc. ("UES" or the "Company"), Northern
10		Utilities, Inc. ("Northern"), Granite State Gas Transmission, Inc. ("Granite"),
11		and Fitchburg Gas and Electric Light Company ("FG&E"). In addition, I am
12		the Vice President and Controller of Unitil Service Corp. ("USC"), which
13		provides centralized management and administrative services to all of Unitil's
14		affiliates, including UES, Northern, Granite and FG&E.
15		
16	Q.	Please describe your business and educational background.
17	A.	I am a Certified Public Accountant in the State of New Hampshire. I graduated
18		from the University of New Hampshire with a Master's Degree in Business
19		Administration. I completed my Public Accounting work experience requirement
20		at Coopers & Lybrand, in Boston, MA. I have been employed with Unitil since
21		June, 1995.
22		

1 **I.**

INTRODUCTION

1	Q.	Have you previously testified before the New Hampshire Public Utilities
2		Commission ("Commission")?
3	A.	Yes. I have testified before the Commission in various matters on behalf of UES
4		and Northern. In addition, I have also testified before the Maine Public Utilities
5		Commission ("MPUC") and the Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities
6		("MDPU") in various matters on behalf of Northern and FG&E, respectively, and
7		before the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC") on behalf of
8		Granite.
9		
10	II.	PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY
11	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?
12	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to support the costs and accounting treatment
13		associated with Tropical Storm Irene ("Irene") which occurred in August 2011
14		and the Nor'easter that occurred in October 2011 ("October Snow Storm").
15		
16	Q.	Is UES presenting any other witnesses in this filing?
17	A.	Yes. UES is presenting Ms. Karen M. Asbury, Director of Regulatory Services
18		for USC and Mr. Richard L. Francazio, Director of Emergency Management and
19		Compliance for USC. Ms. Asbury will describe UES' proposal to increase its
20		Storm Recovery Adjustment Factor ("SRAF") effective May 1, 2012 to recover
21		the costs associated with Irene and the October Snow Storm. Mr. Francazio will

1		describe the two storms, the damage the storms caused to the distribution
2		infrastructure of UES, and UES' planning, restoration and recovery efforts.
3		
4	III.	IRENE & OCTOBER SNOW STORM: STORM RESTORATION
5		EXPENDITURES
6	Q.	Why and how did UES incur emergency storm restoration costs as a result of
7		Irene and the October Snow Storm?
8	A.	As discussed in the testimony of Mr. Francazio, during Irene, 31,355 of UES'
9		customer were without service at the storm's peak and over the course of the 36
10		hour restoration period, a cumulative total of 42,300 customers experienced
11		interruptions. Also as discussed in the testimony of Mr. Francazio, during the
12		October Snow Storm, 51,262 of UES' customer were without service at the
13		storm's peak and over the course of the 84 hour restoration period, a cumulative
14		total of 71,973 customers experienced interruptions. During both of these storm
15		events, UES incurred significant incremental storm restoration expenditures for
16		outside contractor crews, incremental payroll, and material & supplies costs and
17		transportation costs to perform the restoration of electric utility service to UES
18		customers who were interrupted during the storm events.
19		
20	Q.	What are the total costs which UES incurred attributable to Irene and the
21		October Snow Storm for the emergency storm restoration expenditures.

1	A.	As shown on Schedule LMB-1 and Schedule LMB-2 attached hereto, the total
2		costs which UES incurred for the emergency storm restoration expenditures
3		attributable for Irene are estimated to be \$2,478,000 and for the October Snow
4		Storm are estimated to be \$3,090,000.
5		
6	Q.	Please identify the specific cost categories and amounts attributable to Irene
7		and the October Snow Storm for the emergency storm restoration
8		expenditures.
9	A.	The specific cost categories of emergency storm restoration expenditures include
10		1) Contractor & Related Services, 2) Incremental Payroll & Expenses, 3)
11		Materials & Supplies, 4) Transformers and 5) Transportation. A summary of
12		UES' emergency storm restoration expenditures in the specific cost categories
13		listed above and attributable to Irene are presented on Schedule LMB-1, attached
14		hereto. A summary of UES' emergency storm restoration expenditures in the
15		specific cost categories listed above and attributable to the October Snow Storm
16		are presented on Schedule LMB-2, attached hereto.
17		
18	Q.	Please describe the amount and nature of Contractor & Related Services
19		costs incurred by UES during the emergency storm restoration efforts in
20		Irene and the October Snow Storm.

1 A. As shown on Schedule LMB-1 and Schedule LMB-2, the amounts of Contractor 2 & Related Services costs incurred by UES during the emergency storm restoration efforts are estimated to be \$2,270,000 for Irene and are estimated to be 3 4 \$2,998,000 for the October Snow Storm. The costs principally represent amounts 5 expended by UES during the emergency storm restoration efforts to acquire and 6 compensate local and non-local utility line crews, trees crews and damage 7 assessment and wires down personnel to complete the restoration. 8 9 Q. Please describe the amount and nature of Incremental Payroll & 10 Expenses incurred by UES during the emergency storm restoration 11 efforts in Irene and the October Snow Storm. 12 A. As shown on Schedule LMB-1 and Schedule LMB-2, the amounts of Incremental 13 Payroll & Expenses incurred by UES during the emergency storm restoration 14 efforts are estimated to be \$257,000 for Irene and are estimated to be \$222,000 for 15 the October Snow Storm. The costs principally represent amounts expended by 16 UES for regular pay and overtime paid to UES crews, overtime paid to exempt employees at UES and USC and overtime paid to non-exempt employees at UES 17 18 and USC (in accordance with the Company's Storm Pay Policy) to complete the 19 restoration.

1	Q.	Please describe the amount and nature of Materials & Supplies costs
2		incurred by UES during the emergency storm restoration efforts in Irene
3		and the October Snow Storm.
4	A.	As shown on Schedule LMB-1 and Schedule LMB-2, the amounts of Materials &
5		Supplies costs incurred by UES during the emergency storm restoration efforts
6		are estimated to be \$5,000 for Irene and are estimated to be \$25,000 for the
7		October Snow Storm. The costs principally represent materials and supplies
8		issued from inventory and charged to the respective Construction Work Orders
9		("CWO") by UES during the emergency storm restoration efforts to complete the
10		restoration.
11		
12	Q.	Please describe the amount and nature of Transformers costs incurred by
13		UES during the emergency storm restoration efforts in Irene and the
14		October Snow Storm.
15	A.	As shown on Schedule LMB-1 and Schedule LMB-2, the amounts of
16		Transformers costs incurred by UES during the emergency storm restoration are
17		estimated to be \$7,000 for Irene and are estimated to be \$0.00 for the October
18		Snow Storm. The costs principally represent purchases of transformers by UES
19		during the emergency storm restoration efforts to complete the restoration.

1	Q.	Please describe the amount and nature of Transportation costs incurred
2		by UES during the emergency storm restoration efforts in Irene and the
3		October Snow Storm.
4	A.	As shown on Schedule LMB-1 and Schedule LMB-2, the amounts of
5		Transportation costs incurred by UES during the emergency storm restoration
6		efforts are estimated to be \$15,000 for Irene and are estimated to be \$20,000 for
7		the October Snow Storm. The costs principally represent the cost of Company-
8		owned vehicles and equipment used by UES during the emergency storm
9		restoration efforts to complete the restoration.
10		
11 12	IV.	IRENE & OCTOBER SNOW STORM: UES ACCOUNTING FOR STORM RESTORATION COSTS
13	Q.	How did the Company track and compile the emergency storm
14		restoration costs associated with Irene and the October Snow Storm?
15	A.	The emergency storm restoration costs for Irene and the October Snow Storm
16		have been captured in CWO's in the Unitil Plant Accounting System. When the
17		CWO's are closed, all final expenditures will be categorized as capitalized utility
18		plant additions for assets that were replaced during the storm events or as
19		Deferred Storm Expenses for repairs that were performed during the storm events
20		to be recovered through the SRAF.
21		

1	Q.	Have all of the costs attributable to Irene and the October Snow Storm
2		been accumulated in the CWOs and paid by the Company?
3	A.	As of December 16, 2011, the Company has paid the majority of the costs
4		associated with Irene and expects to pay the remaining costs by year-end.
5		With regard to the October Snow Storm, the Company has, to date, paid
6		approximately two-thirds of the estimated costs and expects to pay the
7		remaining costs by the end of the first quarter of 2012 as more invoices are
8		received and processed.
9		
10	Q.	For accounting purposes, has the Company calculated the emergency
11		storm restoration costs attributable to Irene and the October Snow
12		Storm? If so, please explain how the Company calculated those costs and
13		how they are classified for accounting purposes.
14	A.	The total emergency storm restoration costs associated with Irene are
15		estimated to be approximately \$2,554,000, which includes \$76,000 of costs
16		capitalized as utility plant additions for plant units replaced during the storm
17		event and \$2,478,000 of Deferred Storm Expenses for repairs that were
18		performed during the storm event. The Deferred Storm Expenses have been
19		recorded as a Regulatory Asset in account #10-20-00-47-182-86-00 to be
20		recovered through the UES SRAF. The total emergency storm restoration
21		costs associated with the October Snow Storm are estimated to be
22		approximately \$3,265,000, which includes \$175,000 of costs capitalized as

1		utility plant additions for plant units replaced during the storm event and
2		\$3,090,000 of Deferred Storm Expenses for repairs that were performed
3		during the storm event. The Deferred Storm Expenses have been recorded as a
4		Regulatory Asset in account #10-20-00-47-182-86-00 to be recovered through
5		the UES SRAF.
6	Q.	How did the Company identify and calculate the amount of emergency storm
7		restoration costs to be captalized as utility plant additions for plant units
8		replaced during the storm event?
9	A.	The Company's method to identify the amount of storm costs to be capitalized
10		was to use the average install cost of similar plant units for 2010, to calculate the
11		amount to be capitalized for all individual plant units installed during the storm
12		event. This method normalizes the impact of higher emergency storm restoration
13		costs, including labor and contractor rates, during the events.
14		
15	Q.	How did the Company identify and calculate the amount of emergency storm
16		restoration costs to be recognized as Deferred Storm Expenses for repairs
17		that were performed during the storm event to be recorded as a Regulatory
18		Asset in account #10-20-00-47-182-86-00 to be recovered through the UES
19		SRAF?
20	A.	All emergency storm restoration costs remaining in the CWO after the
21		captalization of utility plant additions for plant units replaced during the storm

NHPUC Docket No. DE 11-___ Testimony of Laurence M. Brock Exhibit LMB-1 Page 10 of 10

1		event were recognized as Deferred Storm Expenses for repairs that were
2		performed during the storm event and recorded as a Regulatory Asset in account
3		#10-20-00-47-182-86-00 to be recovered through the UES SRAF.
4		
5		
6	V.	CONCLUSION
7	Q.	Does that conclude your testimony?
8	A.	Yes, it does.